

EAST BETHEL CITY COUNCIL MEETING

July 14, 2025

The East Bethel City Council met on July 14, 2025, at 7:00 p.m. for the regular City Council meeting at City Hall.

MEMBERS PRESENT: Ardie Anderson Suzanne Erkel Brian Mundle
 Tim Miller Jim Smith

ALSO PRESENT: Matt Look, City Administrator
 Jacob Saufley, City Attorney
 Jeff Cielocha, Fire Chief
 Nate Ayshford, Public Works Manager
 Grace Gerard, City Planner
 Carrie Frost, Deputy City Clerk

1.0 Call to Order

The July 14, 2025, City Council meeting was called to order by Mayor Anderson at 7:00 p.m.

2.0 Pledge of Allegiance

The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

3.0 Adopt Agenda

video hadn't started yet **Mundle stated I'll make a motion to adopt tonight's agenda, including a presentation by Stephanie Dorn regarding Booster Day under Presentations and Public Hearings. Miller stated I'll second.** Anderson asked any discussion? To the motion, all in favor say aye. **All in favor.** Anderson asked any opposed? That motion passes. **Motion passes unanimously.**

4.0 Presentations and Public Hearings

4.0 A Booster Day Presentation

Stephanie Dorn gave a short overview of the schedule of events for the 2025 Booster Day celebration, and thanked the Parade Coordinator for her hard work on assembling this year's parade.

Mundle asked what time the parade begins. Ms. Dorn stated that it begins at 4:00 p.m.

Erkel asked if they were taking any drop-ins for the parade. Ms. Dorn stated that the cars from the car show do not typically participate in the parade, but there is always room for last-minute drop-ins, which can be signed up for on the Booster Day website.

Ms. Dorn highlighted the members of the Booster Day Committee who make Booster Day happen and discussed the Medallion hunt.

Ms. Dorn stated that the pancake breakfast begins at 8:00 a.m. in the Senior Center, the Car Show is from 9-1, and everything else begins at 11:00 a.m.

Informational; no action required.

4.0 B IUP 2021-74 Hearing: 22359 Street NE, Home Occupation

Look stated that City Council was asked to postpone the hearing on possible violations to IUP 2021-74 issued at 22359 Bataan Street NE (PIN 03-33-23-31-0004, 03-33-23-31-0003), granting an interim use permit for animal contractual service home occupation, DBA Gratitude Farms. An official complaint was filed by the Sheriff's office, detailing obstruction of legal process concerning two dogs that were taken by Linwood's Animal Control contractor, Gratitude Farms. Upon review of the IUP conditions, two conditions may have been violated. Under Condition #5, "the Cities designated inspector and all

other personnel under the direction and control of the City, whom the inspector believes necessary, must be allowed access for inspection purposes, 12 hours' notice to ensure compliance with all applicable conditions. It was reported that from January 30th to February 4th, a Sheriff's investigator attempted to inspect the animals and was denied, resulting in a warrant issued on February 6th and served on the 7th.

Under Condition #9, "All dogs must be confined to the premises". Upon searching the residence on February 7th, the dogs were not on the premises and had been removed and kept somewhere else. The applicant refused to disclose where the dogs were held.

Look noted that they are a complaint-based City. This item, however, is not the case, because this deals with an IUP. Look said this will deal with determining if the conditions of the IUP were violated.

Anderson opened the public hearing at 7:16 p.m.

Tammy Gimpl stated that this is about the 12 conditions on the IUP, and she cannot speak on the rest of the district court stuff. Ms. Gimpl asked who filed the district court complaint, and asked why the East Bethel complaint form was not filled out. Look stated that it was he.

Ms. Gimpl stated that, regarding condition #5, which may have been violated, concerning inspecting for the IUP, she was never given a 12-hour notice.

Ms. Gimpl stated that regarding condition #9, all her dogs are confined to her premises, and they stay there until they are surrendered or until the 5 or 10-day hold is up.

Ms. Gimpl stated that when she was involved in the Fire Department, she shadowed some fire inspections and described what process was followed. Ms. Gimpl stated that she does not understand why she was brought here instead of it being dealt with by staff. She asked if all IUP violations are handled in this way.

Ms. Gimpl stated that she has had this IUP since 2009, and she has never violated the animal control contractual service IUP. Ms. Gimpl stated that she has proof of everything if it is needed.

Ms. Gimpl noted that she served the City in the Fire Department for 17 years, and she was diagnosed with multiple myeloma from serving in the Fire Department. She got brought to the Council and berated and fired; she wants to live her life now and not deal with any of this.

Renee Beckman discussed the IUP at hand and stated that Gimpl has been boarding for years, which is not permitted in her zoning district.

A resident discussed Gimpl's violations in her dog and horse IUPs. She stated that the City is putting trust in Gimpl to have authority over other people's animals when she has not been able to comply with safety standards for her own animals.

A resident stated that Gimpl is good at her job, and she can tell, just by listening, who she would and would not vote for again.

Christine Dahlman stated that she is the target of Ms. Gimpl. Ms. Dahlman stated that she has filed multiple complaints for 8 years on non-compliance, but nothing has been done. Ms. Dahlman noted that she takes dogs from anywhere and has been acting as a rescue, and has yet to come back to the City to modify her IUP.

A resident stated that Ms. Gimpl does amazing rescue and care for animals, and she would trust her with any animal.

Ms. Dahlman addressed Gimpl from the podium that she does not harass Gimpl, and that has been proven twice in court.

Mundle interjected that Dahlman should address the council or sit down.

Ms. Dahlman addressed Council and restated that she does not harass Gimpl, and that has been proven twice in court.

Beckman asked Council to put aside feelings, address the violations, and look at the facts.

Katie Terhell encouraged the City and Gratitude Farms to find a way to become compliant. She stated that it is a very important service that Gimpl provides.

Ms. Gimpl stated that she is being taxed commercially on that section of her land.

Mundle interrupted to tell the audience not to shout comments.

Nicole, an East Bethel resident, stated that she donated 8-10 kennels to Gratitude Farm and would like to see everyone sit down with Ms. Gimpl to come to an agreement.

A resident stated that Gimpl is not our ACO for East Bethel, and they chose to get a different one.

Anderson closed the public hearing at 7:43 p.m.

Look reminded that he acts at the will of the Council and his job is to protect the interest of the City, which is the purpose of bringing this back up.

Look discussed the 12-hour notice given by the deputy and stated that this has nothing to do with the quality of care, her service to the City in the past, and the trust people have in her. Look noted that this has to do with the fact that the home occupation on the property at 22359 Bataan Street NE.

City Attorney Saufley stated that this is related to the compliance with the conditions of the IUP and gave staff direction on what to do with the alleged violations.

Erkel stated I'll make a motion to revoke Resolution 2021-74, granting an IUP for the operation of an animal contractual service home occupation on property located at 22359 Bataan Street NE, DBA Gratitude Farms. Anderson stated I'll second. Anderson asked any discussion?

Erkel stated that Ms. Gimpl has a heart for animals, but through the years, she has not taken the heart of the animals' owners into account, which has led to multiple violations and now the gross misdemeanor.

Erkel stated that she is in favor of following the rules of the IUP.

Mundle asked if, depending on how the court case works out, if they pull the IUP, but the court cases are dismissed, what happens to the basis of this evidence.

Look stated that he does not believe the two are related and does not think this will have an impact on the court's decision.

Look stated that there were 7 days of trying to get in and gain access, and they had to get a warrant.

Saufley stated that the standard of review for criminal charges is different than the standard of review for municipal decisions, so they are looking at the violations of the conditions of the IUP.

To the motion, all in favor say aye. **All in favor.** Anderson asked any opposed? That motion passes. **Motion passes unanimously.**

5.0 Public Forum

Isabel Martin stated that there cannot be any boarding at the Gimpl residence, because if there is, it is considered a commercial kennel.

Christine Dahlman stated that the Gimpl's IUP should be revoked immediately.

Katie Terhell stated that Erkel's comment following the June 14th shooting was factually incorrect and inappropriate. Ms. Terhell stated that Erkel never apologized or took credit for her statements.

6.0 Consent Agenda

Item A: Approve Bill List

Item B: Approve Meeting Minutes June 23, 2025 City Council Work Meeting

Item C: Approve Meeting Minutes, June 23, 2025 City Council Meeting

Item D: Position Description Update – Public Works Lead Positions

Item E: Purchase of Playground Equipment – Booster Park

Item F: Purchase of Truck Liftgate

Item G: Resolution 2025-40, IUP Renewal: FFL Home Occupation, 23026 3rd Street NE

Item H: Resolution 2025-41, Approving Application for Raffle Permit

Smith requested to pull Item C. Mundle requested to pull Item B. Erkel requested to pull items D, E, F, and H.

Mundle stated I'll make a motion to approve Consent Agenda as amended. Erkel stated I'll second. Anderson asked any discussion? To the motion, all in favor say aye. **All in favor.** Anderson asked any opposed? That motion passes. **Motion passes unanimously.**

6.0 B Approve Meeting Minutes June 23, 2025 City Council Work Meeting

Saufley stated that he submitted changes to clarify what he said at the meeting.

Mundle stated I'll make a motion to approve Item B of the Consent Agenda with City Attorney Saufley's corrections. Miller stated I'll second. Anderson asked any discussion? To the motion, all in favor say aye. **All in favor.** Anderson asked any opposed? That motion passes. **Motion passes unanimously.**

6.0 C Approve Meeting Minutes, June 23, 2025 City Council Meeting

Smith asked to table the minutes because there are a lot of corrections that need to be made.

Smith stated I'll make a motion to table Item C of the Consent Agenda. Erkel stated I'll second. Anderson asked any discussion? To the motion, all in favor say aye. **All in favor.** Anderson asked any opposed? That motion passes. **Motion passes unanimously.**

6.0 D Position Description Update – Public Works Lead Positions

Erkel clarified that this is a promotion of a current Public Works position, not a whole new position. Look stated that was correct.

Erkel stated I'll make a motion to approve Item D of the Consent Agenda. Miller stated I'll second. Anderson asked any discussion? To the motion, all in favor say aye. **All in favor.** Anderson asked any opposed? That motion passes. **Motion passes unanimously.**

6.0 E Purchase of Playground Equipment – Booster Park

Erkel noted that this is for a zipline at Booster Park coming in 2025.

Erkel stated I'll make a motion to approve Item E of the Consent Agenda. Mundle stated I'll second. Anderson asked any discussion? To the motion, all in favor say aye. **All in favor.** Anderson asked any opposed? That motion passes. **Motion passes unanimously.**

6.0 F Purchase of Truck Liftgate

Erkel noted that this is for a liftgate and asked what it takes to put it from one vehicle to another. Ayshford noted that it bolts onto the back of a tailgate and can be moved from truck to truck, and can be transferred in a day.

Erkel stated I'll make a motion to approve Item F of the Consent Agenda. Mundle stated I'll second. Anderson asked any discussion? To the motion, all in favor say aye. **All in favor.** Anderson asked any opposed? That motion passes. **Motion passes unanimously.**

6.0 H Resolution 2025-41, Approving Application for Raffle Permit

Erkel asked if this is needed because it is considered gambling, and asked what TVF stands for. Look noted that Frost will know.

Erkel stated I'll make a motion to approve Item H of the Consent Agenda. Mundle stated I'll second. Anderson asked any discussion? To the motion, all in favor say aye. **All in favor.** Anderson asked any opposed? That motion passes. **Motion passes unanimously.**

7.0 New Business. Commission, Association, and Task Force Reports

7.0 A Planning Commission

7.0 A.1 Variance: Elevage Commercial LLC, Outlot A – Elevage ED Second Addition

Gerard stated this is a variance request to allow development of a monument sign 3 feet from the property line along Sandhill Parkway NE and 4 feet 10 inches from the property line along 189th Lane NE. City Code Chapter 54-4, Subdivision 2 requires at least a 20-foot setback from the public right-of-way for development monument signs.

Gerard noted that this property is a 5.61-acre outlot that is zoned and guided MXU – Mixed Use. The adjacent properties are guided and zoned the same. This property is part of the Elevage EB Planned Unit Development (PUD), a 62-acre residential and commercial development east of Highway 65.

Gerard stated that the Elevage EB Second Addition Final Plat has a utility easement extending 10 feet to the east and 10 feet to the west of the fire hydrant at this corner. Meeting the 20-foot setback requirements from the ROW and placing the sign outside of the utility easement would locate the sign at least 60 feet from the curb of Sandhill Parkway and 40 feet from the curb of 189th Lane NE, which could limit visibility of the sign.

Gerard presented what the sign would look like.

Gerard stated that in order to grant a variance, the applicant must demonstrate that the request meets all three of the tests for “practical difficulties,” including reasonable use of the land, uniqueness of the land that is out of the control of the owner, and that the request would not alter the existing character of the neighborhood.

Gerard added that the Planning Commission held a public hearing on this item on June 24, 2025. A member of the development team was present to speak on behalf of the applicant. No one else was present to comment during the public hearing. The Planning Commission voted 5-0 to recommend approval of the variance request.

Mundle asked about the total distance from the curb. Gerard noted that the property line is set back about 20 feet from the curb.

Erkel asked if, since they do not have actual photos of what this looks like, if it gets put up and is impeding vision, will they relocate it, or is it set in stone once approved. Gerard stated that if it is approved, it grants the applicant approval to locate the sign where it is proposed. Gerard stated that they will use the 30-foot sight visibility triangle to safely say it will not impair drivers' vision.

Saufley clarified that once a variance is granted, you are granting it without regard to what the item actually looks like. Saufley stated that, depending on how the variance resolution is drafted, you might be determining a specific location or a general range where the item could be located.

Smith stated that he was on the site and did not see any vision impairment.

Erkel asked about considering people's homes around there. Smith stated it should not be a vision impairment for them either, because the sign is out by the road.

Gerard stated that staff did the math to make sure that the site plan and the sign submitted are consistent. Mundle asked if the height of the sign is 3 feet. Gerard stated that the sign is 6 feet 7 inches tall, including the brick piers.

Mundle stated I'll make a motion to adopt Resolution 2025-42, granting a variance from Chapter 54-4, Subdivision 2 of the City Code for the location of a development monument sign within 20 feet of public right of way on property at the northeast corner of Sandhill Parkway NE and 189th Lane NE. Erkel stated I'll second. Anderson asked any discussion? To the motion, all in favor say aye. **All in favor.** Anderson asked any opposed? That motion passes. **Motion passes unanimously.**

7.0 A.2 Variance: Tom Babineau, 239 Aspen Road NE

Gerard stated that the applicant, Tom Babineau, applied for a variance for a front yard setback reduction at 239 Aspen Road.

Gerard noted that this property is a 0.42-acre through lot with frontage along Aspen Road NE to the east and Lakeshore Drive NE to the west. The property is zoned and guided Coon Lake within the Shoreland Overlay District.

Gerard stated that the applicant is proposing to demolish an existing home and build a new single-family home on the property. Gerard stated that the request is a variance from Section 51.7, Subdivision B of the Zoning Ordinance to allow a front setback reduction from 25 feet to 15 feet.

Gerard stated that the proposed structure is setback 35.7 feet from Lakeshore Drive NE and 77.3 feet from the Ordinary High-Water Level of Coon Lake. The proximity of the lot to Coon Lake limits the potential locations for the home and septic site.

Gerard noted that in order to grant a variance, the applicant must demonstrate that the request meets all three of the tests for "practical difficulties," including reasonable use of the land, uniqueness of the land that is out of the control of the owner, and that the request would not alter the existing character of the neighborhood. Staff has provided findings of fact for both approval and denial in the staff report.

Gerard added that the Planning Commission held a public hearing on this item on June 24, 2025. The applicant was present to speak on this item. At the public hearing, a neighbor spoke on behalf of another neighbor who wanted to know more details about the project. The Planning Commission voted 5-0 to recommend approval of the variance request.

Erkel stated I'll make a motion to adopt Resolution 2025-43, granting a variance from Section 51-7, Subdivision B of the City Zoning Ordinance for the location of a principal structure within 25 feet of a front property located at 239 Aspen Road. Miller stated I'll second. Anderson asked any discussion?

Smith asked if the house, garage, and shed were all going to be demolished. Gerard stated that she believes that the existing building towards the lake will remain, but the garage, house, and the building to the north will be gone.

To the motion, all in favor say aye. **All in favor.** Anderson asked any opposed? That motion passes. **Motion passes unanimously.**

7.0 A.3 Zoning Text Amendment: Barndominiums

Kendra Lindahl, Landform, stated the Planning Commission reviewed this item at its June 24, 2025, meeting. The Commission requested some clarification of the staff report language and recommended an amendment to allow 16 ft. sidewall height for Barndominiums on parcels of 5 acres or more. The staff report and attached ordinance have been updated to reflect these recommendations.

Lindahl noted that other than the applicant, there was no one present to speak on this item. The Planning Commission voted unanimously to recommend approval of the ordinance amendment with the recommended amendment.

Erkel asked if someone wanted to build a two-story barndominium could do that. Lindahl stated that in terms of sidewall height, they could not.

Mundle asked if it is intended as the sidewall of the garage. Erkel stated that someone who buys 3 acres of land should be able to have the ability to build a two-story barndominium.

Lindahl clarified that the 16-foot sidewall height is for the whole building, not just the garage. Lindahl stated that right now it is 14 feet.

Look stated that it would need to be a variance if someone wanted to build a two-story structure. Smith stated that he does not think residents should have to come in for a variance.

Lindahl stated that she thinks they should give her direction so she can review, edit, and bring it back to the Council.

Erkel stated that she thinks residents should be able to have a second story if desired. Miller stated that it should be allowed on 2 acres minimum, not 5 acres.

Lindahl stated that there is a building height for living space, but for an accessory building, there is a sidewall height. Miller stated that they would like 16 feet for the garage sidewall height.

Smith stated that he thinks they are placing restrictions on residents that are not needed, and it should be allowed on any lot size.

Smith stated that they have been working on this long enough.

Lindahl clarified that the Council wants the ordinance to read "For Barndominiums, a 16-foot sidewall height is permitted for the accessory building portion of the structure." Erkel stated that it is correct.

Miller stated I'll make a motion to adopt Ordinance No. 2025-03, amending the text of Appendix A (zoning) of the East Bethel City Code with the change on page 97 of the staff report. Erkel stated I'll second. Anderson asked any discussion? To the motion, all in favor say aye. **All in favor.** Anderson asked any opposed? That motion passes. **Motion passes unanimously.**

7.0 B Economic Development Authority

None.

7.0 C Park Commission

None.

8.0 Department Reports

8.0 A Community Development

None.

8.0 B Engineer Report

None.

8.0 C City Attorney

None.

8.0 D Finance

None.

8.0 E Public Works**8.0 E.1 Emergency Back-up Generator & Transfer Switch for Wells #1 & #2**

Mundle noted that he will be abstaining from this item.

Ayshford stated that the City of East Bethel has four municipal wells that serve its residents. Currently, only wells 3 & 4 have back-up power to operate in the event of a power outage. Reviews from the State of MN and emergency management plans recommend having back-up power for all public water supplies. Staff have been working on cost-effective solutions for a backup power source for wells 1 & 2 for several years.

Ayshford stated that the City applied for and was successfully awarded a \$10,000 Source Water Protection Grant from the Minnesota Department of Health for the installation of a transfer switch for wells 1 & 2 in the north municipal utility service area. Ayshford stated that a single standard transfer switch will not be sufficient.

Ayshford stated that the first option with transfer switches and a transformer would cost the City \$30,005, and the second option with two transfer switches would cost the City \$12,279.

Ayshford stated that after the transfer switch is installed and the initial grant closed out, the City can apply for two additional grants that cover up to \$20,000 of the purchase and installation of the actual generators. The grant money is not guaranteed, but our project is likely to score highly in the competitive process.

Erkel asked if this money is coming out of the General Fund. Ayshford stated that funding for this project is provided by the Water Enterprise Fund.

Smith asked if they had something there now. Ayshford stated that there is no backup power there now.

Ayshford stated that with the grants, it would cost the City about \$34,000.

Erkel asked how many homes Wells 1 and 2 serve. A member of the audience stated the number is at 108 at the moment.

Smith asked how long they had been doing this without generators. Ayshford stated that since 2004, but it is being brought up now because of the emergency management plan and the Department of Health review of the water supply.

Anderson clarified that they are approving the second, cheaper option.

Erkel stated I'll make a motion to approve installation of a transfer switch with the acceptance of the grant and authorize applying to remaining grants. Smith stated I'll second. Anderson asked any discussion? To the motion, all in favor say aye. **All in favor.** Anderson asked any opposed? That motion passes. **Motion passes unanimously.**

8.0 F Fire Department**8.0 F.1 Fire Station Flooring Project**

Look asked if the Council would make a motion to postpone this item until they have information back regarding the warranty.

Anderson stated I'll make a motion to table discussion of the Fire Station flooring project until they have further information. Erkel stated I'll second. Anderson asked any discussion? To the motion, all in favor say aye. **All in favor.** Anderson asked any opposed? That motion passes. **Motion passes unanimously.**

8.0 G City Administrator Report

8.0 G.1 Intern Hire for Digital Imaging Project

Look stated this relates to the hiring of an intern for a special project to begin the transition from paper files to digital format. Digital format will be the first step to document preservation and eventual website functions that could include public data searches for commonly asked for information. While there is room for volunteers to help on this project, having a dedicated intern to manage the process will help to complete the project in a reasonable amount of time.

Look noted that the Anoka County Regional Economic Development was forwarded the names of two individuals who needed to complete internships prior to completing their bachelor's degree in planning and community development.

George Ongaga responded to East Bethel's interest in offering a special project opportunity that would allow the intern to become acclimated to property address files, coordinate the conversion to a digital format, and organize for eventual adoption into software upgrades that cities commonly use. Mr. Ongaga has experience in computer programming and repair and possesses a skillset that combines the use of technology with data management.

Look stated that the intern compensation is recommended at \$15 per hour. This person would help to coordinate with volunteers as they become available, managing the process.

Erkel stated I'll make a motion to approve hire of the temporary intern. Mundle stated I'll second. Anderson asked any discussion?

Erkel asked what hours he would be working. Look noted that he has the summers off, and he has to finish this project to complete his degree. Look stated that he will be flexible with when Mr. Ongaga can complete this work, but it has to fall within office hours.

Look noted that once this project gets started, they will have a better insight into what his schedule and timeline will look like.

Erkel asked if he would finish this in the summer. Look noted that they will reach out to the new Planning Commissioner, who expressed interest, and other volunteers to help get this project completed.

Smith asked if Look could also be in charge of this project, not just Frost, because she has a lot of other things she is working on.

To the motion, all in favor say aye. **All in favor.** Anderson asked any opposed? That motion passes. **Motion passes unanimously.**

9.0 – Other Items

9.0 A Staff Report

Look stated that the request for the Senior Center was checked on and estimated about \$3,00 to change the ordinances to accommodate this.

Look noted that it is a requirement that the senior's contract with a caterer permit. Erkel stated that they have determined Route 65. Look noted that there is a requirement of the State that food be provided at every event where alcohol is served.

Erkel asked if the food had to come from the caterer. Look stated that it does.

Look asked if the \$3,000 should be recouped from the City or should funds come from the alcohol sales at the Senior Center.

Look stated that with the road repair on Jackson Street, due to the culvert failure, the street had to be shut down. Look stated that Ayshford has been working hard to get this resolved. Look stated that they are at about \$61,000 for the repair with Classic Construction.

Look stated that TVF stands for Traditional Values Foundation, a non-profit that helps applicants with filing.

Look stated that the Mayor and he met with the school district regarding getting hooked up to City sewer and water, and they have a game plan going forward.

9.0 B Council Reports

Smith asked if, with the IUP taken away tonight, is there a 30-day wind-down period.

Saufley stated that there is usually a wind-down period to work through administratively to make sure things get smoothed out.

Smith asked what time Saufley would suggest for the wind-down period. Saufley stated that he thinks it is a policy decision.

Look stated that the horse IUP gives 30 days, but the one discussed tonight does not mention that.

Smith stated they can leave it up to staff to send out letters, get things finished up, and bring an update to the next meeting.

Erkel stated that she thinks they spend too much on Ace Garbage and asked if they have a contract or get other quotes.

Erkel asked when the next newsletter is going out. Look stated that the newsletter is at the printer/at the mail house right now.

Erkel stated that every June, the City Council used to have an annual evaluation of the City Administrator, and she thinks that should be done.

Erkel asked if the City Council would participate in the parade. Mundle stated that the Mayor will ride in a car, and the City can provide a Public Works car if any Council members want to participate.

Look stated that if Council members want to participate, they can get with the coordinator if they want a slot.

Erkel stated that she thinks they should be done with Landform and allow Gerard to be on her own to complete her probation period. Look stated they can look into that.

Smith agrees with Erkel and has liked what Gerard has done so far. Smith stated they can just use Landform for consulting when needed.

10.0 Adjourn

Erkel stated I'll make a motion to adjourn. Mundle stated I'll second. To the motion, all in favor say aye. **All in favor.** Anderson asked any opposed? That motion passes. **Motion passes unanimously.**

Meeting adjourned at 9:08 p.m.

Submitted by:

Lilian Rokosz

TimeSaver Off Site Secretarial, Inc.